STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

| School Food Authority Name: Barrington Public Schools | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Administrative Review: March 7, 2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: March 13, 2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| General Program Participation | | | | | | | | | |
| | . What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) | | | | | | | | |
| | x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option | | | | | | | | |
| | Does the So that apply) | the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all apply) | | | | | | | |
| | □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Review Findings | | | | | | | | | |
| | Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? x Yes □ No If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below. | | | | | | | | |
| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | | | | | |
| X | | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | YES | NO | A LACCOUNT MANUAL MANUA | | | | | |
| | | X | | Certification and Benefit Issuance | | | | | |
| | | | X | Verification | | | | | |

| | | v | | Meal Counting and Claiming | | | |
|---|---|---|----|---|--|--|--|
| | | x | | | | | |
| | | 1) There were students whose eligibility for meal benefits was | | | | | |
| | | determined incorrectly based on the information provided. | | | | | |
| | | 2) The district is not currently notifying families who qualify for | | | | | |
| | | free meal benefits based on direct certification of meal benefit | | | | | |
| | | determinations. Additionally, the letter being used for family | | | | | |
| | | notification contains an outdated non-discrimination | | | | | |
| | | statement. Lastly, one family selected for verification was not | | | | | |
| | | given 10 days notice before the reduction of benefits took | | | | | |
| | | place due to adverse action as a result of the verification | | | | | |
| | | process. | | | | | |
| | | 3) At the Primrose Hill School, it was determined that the meal | | | | | |
| | | counting and claiming system for breakfast resulted in several | | | | | |
| | | claiming errors for the review month. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| X | | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | | | |
| | | X | | Meal Components and Quantities | | | |
| | | | X | Offer versus Serve | | | |
| | | | X | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | | | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | | | |
| | | 1) The minimum daily requirement of meal food components | | | | | |
| | | was not met for the review week at Primrose Hill School; the | | | | | |
| | | menu for one day was short of the daily requirement ounce | | | | | |
| | | equivalent of grains offered. | | | | | |
| | | C. School Nutrition Environment | | | | | |
| X | | YES | NO | Nutrition Environment | | | |
| | | IES | X | Food Safety | | | |
| | | | X | Local School Wellness Policy | | | |
| | | | X | Competitive Foods | | | |
| | | X | | Other | | | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | | | |
| | | 1) District level staff with responsibilities in the school meal | | | | | |
| | | | | rams, including school-level application processors and | | | |
| | | | | ct-employed breakfast served, have not obtained and | | | |
| | | documented the appropriate hours of training required by the | | | | | |
| | | HHFKA. | | | | | |
| | X | D. Civil Rights | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |